PBF June 2026 Project Progress Report

PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Click Next below to start

» Report Submission

Type of report *

- (●) Semi-annual
- ( ) Annual
- ( ) Final
- ( ) Other

Date of submission of report *

2026-07-02

Name and title of person submitting the report *

Daniel Kir, Team Leader

E-mail of person submitting the report *

daniel.kir@undp.org

Name and title of person who approved the report *

Sheila Ngatia

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report? *

- (●) Yes
- ( ) No

Did PBF Secretariat or RCO focal point review the report? *

You should normally ensure that the PBF Secretariat or the PBF focal point have an opportunity to review.

- (●) Yes
- ( ) No
- ( ) Not Applicable

» Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project? *

- ( ) Yes
- (●) No

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

- ( ) Asia and the Pacific
- ( ) Central & Southern Africa
- (•) East Africa
- ( ) Europe and Central Asia
- ( ) Global
- ( ) Latin America and the Caribean
- ( ) Middle East and North Africa
- ( ) West Africa

Country of project implementation *

- ( ) Ethiopia
- ( ) Kenya
- ( ) Madagascar
- ( ) Mozambique
- ( ) Somalia
- (•) South Sudan
- ( ) Sudan
- ( ) Other, Specify

Other, please specify *

Project Title *

- ( ) 00130006: Building peace through promoting inclusive and participatory transitional justice processes and mechanisms in South Sudan
- ( ) 00130571: Challenging harmful and patriarchal gender norms for better mental health and peace and security, amongst women and girls and communities in the Wunlit Triangle
- ( ) 00129661: Community Action for Peaceful Resolution of Housing, Land and Property (HLP) Disputes and Conflicts
- ( ) 00113057: Enhancing Women's Access to Land to Consolidate Peace in South Sudan
- ( ) 00120688: Gender mainstreaming in Security Sector Reform
- ( ) 00129659: Secretariat support to the PBF portfolio in South Sudan
- ( ) 00129660: Support to a people-driven and gender responsive permanent constitution making process in South Sudan
- ( ) 00118940: Youth Action for Reduced Violence and Enhanced Social Cohesion in Wau, South Sudan
- ( ) 00134340: Youth Leading Peace: Establishing participatory and inclusive local and national mechanisms for implementation of Youth Peace and Security Agenda in South Sudan
- ( ) 00140011: Women's Leadership and Political Participation During South Sudan's Transitional Period
- ( ) 00140047: Local Solutions to Build Climate Resilience and Advance Peace and Stability in Bor Pibor and Malakal
- ( ) 00140050: Resourcing change: inclusive peacebuilding from the ground up
- ( ) 00140762: Women in South Sudan's Security Sector: A Path to Inclusive and Transformative Leadership
- ( ) 00140802: Community Violence Reduction Partnerships with White Nile and Sobat River Communities to Advance Socioeconomic Cohesion and Peaceful Uses of the White Nile and Sobat River
- ( ) 00140803: Inclusive Governance for Peace: Promoting young women's meaningful political participation in South Sudan
- ( ) 00140924: Pastoralist youth's civic engagement for equitable safer inclusive & united South Sudan
- (•) 00141165: Towards Durable Solution in Greater Malakal Upper Nile State South Sudan
- ( ) Other, Specify

Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented *

If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa

- [ ] Asia and the Pacific
- [ ] Central & Southern Africa
- [ ] East Africa
- [ ] Europe and Central Asia
- [ ] Global
- [ ] Latin America and the Caribean
- [ ] Middle East and North Africa
- [ ] West Africa

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report *

Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented *

Other, Please specify *

Project Start Date (Date of first transfer) *

2025-05-23

Project End Date *

2027-05-31

Has this project received an extension? *

- ( ) YES, Cost Extension
- ( ) YES, No Cost Extension
- ( ) YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- (•) NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension? *

- ( ) YES, Cost Extension
- ( ) YES, No Cost Extension
- ( ) YES, Both Cost and No Cost Extensions
- (•) NO, No Extensions

Will this project be submitting a Fund Transfer Request (FTR) in the next six months? *

- (•) Yes
- ( ) No

If so, around which month do you expect to submit the request? *

- ( ) January
- ( ) February
- ( ) March
- ( ) April
- ( ) May
- ( ) June
- ( ) July
- ( ) August
- ( ) September
- ( ) October
- ( ) November
- (•) December

Is the current project end date within 6 months? *

- ( ) Yes
- (•) No

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund? *

- ( ) Yes
- (•) No

If yes, please select which *

- National Trust Fund
- Regional Trust Fund

Recipients

Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity? *

- (•) UN entity
- ( ) Non-UN Entity

Please select the convening agency recipient *

- (•) UNDP: United Nations Development Programme
- ( ) IOM: International Organization for Migration
- ( ) UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- ( ) OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- ( ) UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- ( ) UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees
- ( ) UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- ( ) FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization
- ( ) WFP: World Food Programme
- ( ) UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- ( ) UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- ( ) UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme
- ( ) ILO: International Labour Organization
- ( ) WHO: World Health Organization
- ( ) PAHO/WHO
- ( ) UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund
- ( ) UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- ( ) UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- ( ) UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization
- ( ) ITC: International Trade Centre
- ( ) UNDPO
- ( ) Other, Specify

Other, Please specify *

Are there other recipients for this project? *

- ( ) No other recipients
- ( ) Yes, other UN recipients only
- ( ) Yes, other non-UN recipients only
- (•) Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients *

Select all that apply

- [ ] UNDP: United Nations Development Programme
- [x] IOM: International Organization for Migration
- [ ] UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- [ ] OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- [ ] UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- [ ] UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees
- [ ] UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- [ ] FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization
- [ ] WFP: World Food Programme
- [ ] UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- [ ] UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- [ ] UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme
- [ ] ILO: International Labour Organization
- [ ] WHO: World Health Organization
- [ ] PAHO/WHO
- [ ] UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund
- [ ] UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- [ ] UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- [ ] UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization
- [ ] ITC: International Trade Centre
- [ ] UN Department of Peace Operations
- [ ] Other, Specify

Other, Please specify *

Please select other non-UN recipients *

- [ ] ACTED
- [ ] Action Aid UK
- [ ] AAITG (ActionAid the Gambia)
- [ ] AEDE
- [ ] African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD)
- [ ] Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD)
- [ ] American Friends Service Committee (AFSC)
- [ ] Avocats Sans Frontières
- [ ] Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium
- [ ] Avocats sans frontières Canada
- [ ] Ayuda en Accion
- [ ] BIRN - Balkan Investigative Reporting Network
- [ ] BIOM -Youth Ecological Movemen
- [ ] CARE International UK
- [ ] Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF
- [ ] Christian Aid Ireland
- [ ] COIPRODEN
- [ ] Concern Worldwide
- [ ] Conexion Guatemala
- [ ] COOPI - Cooperazione Internazionale
- [ ] CORD Burundi
- [ ] CORDAID
- [ ] Corporacion Sisma Mujer
- [ ] CRS - Catholic Relief Services
- [ ] DanChurchAid
- [x] Danish Refugee Council
- [ ] EQUITAS
- [ ] Fund for Congolese Women
- [ ] Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU)
- [ ] Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS)
- [ ] Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH)
- [ ] Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP)
- [ ] Geneva Centre for Security Sector Governance (DCAF)
- [ ] HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation
- [ ] Humanity & Inclusion (HI)
- [ ] ICTJ (International Center for Transitional Justice)
- [ ] Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD)
- [ ] Integrity Watch
- [ ] International Alert
- [ ] International Rescue Committee
- [ ] Interpeace
- [ ] Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation
- [ ] Life and Peace Institute (LPI)
- [ ] MDG-EISA - Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar
- [ ] Mercy Corps
- [ ] MLAL - ProgettoMondo
- [ ] MSIS-TATAO
- [ ] NIMD (Netherlands Institute for Multiparty Democracy)
- [ ] Nonviolent Peaceforce
- [ ] Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC)
- [ ] Nile Sustainable Development Organization - NSDO
- [ ] OCNH-Organisation des Citoyens pour une Nouvelle Haïti
- [ ] OIKOS
- [ ] ONG Adkoul - ONG Adkoul
- [ ] ONG AZHAR
- [ ] OXFAM
- [ ] Peace Direct
- [ ] Plan International
- [ ] PNG UN Country Fund
- [ ] Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez
- [ ] ROI - Roza Otunbayeva Initiati
- [ ] Saferworld
- [ ] Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM)
- [ ] Save the Children
- [ ] Search for Common Ground (SFCG)
- [ ] SIHA (Strategic Initiative for Women in the Horn of Africa)
- [ ] SismaMujer
- [ ] SOS Sahel Sudan
- [ ] Stichting Impunity Watch
- [ ] Tearfund
- [ ] The Carter Center, Inc.
- [ ] Trocaire
- [ ] War Child
- [ ] War Childhood Museum (WCM)
- [ ] World Vision International
- [ ] World Vision Myanmar
- [ ] ZOA
- [ ] blank_placeholder
- [ ] Other, Please specify

Other, Please specify *

Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money **since the project's start** ?

0

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money **during this calendar period** ?

(for June reports: January-June;
for November reports: January-December (anticipated);
for final reports: full project duration)

0

Financial Reporting

» Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in full US dollars allocated to each recipient organization
Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

*Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars***

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

Recipients	**Total Project Budget** (in full US $) *Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars*	**Transfers to date** (in full US $) *Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars*	**Expenditure to date** (in full US $) *Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars*	**Implementation rate as a percentage of total budget** *(calculated automatically)*
UNDP: United Nations Development Programme	* 1250000	* 875000	* 160297.6	12.82%
	*	*	*	%

IOM: International Organization for Migration	* 1250000	* 875000	* 450774.27	36.06%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
Danish Refugee Council	* 500000	* 350000	* 182183.74	36.44%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%

	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
TOTAL	**3000000**	**2100000**	**793255.61**	**26.44%**

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **26.44%**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

(●) Correct ( ) Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a % *

» Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE) as per the project document? *

42.04

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US $ 1261200**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

(●) Correct ( ) Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *budget amount* allocated to GEWE in US Dollars *

Amount expended to date on efforts contributiong to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US $ 333484.66**. Is this correct? *

(●) Correct ( ) Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars *

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE. *
The templates for the budget are available here

PBF DS Financial Report as of 31 May 2026-22_7_10.xlsx

Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project *

- ( ) Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)
- (•) Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE
- ( ) Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project *

- ( ) Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes
- (•) Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes
- ( ) Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project *

- ( ) (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- ( ) (1.2) Rule of Law
- ( ) (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- ( ) (1.4) Political Dialogue
- ( ) (2.1) National reconciliation
- ( ) (2.2) Democratic Governance
- (•) (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- ( ) (3.1) Employment
- ( ) (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- ( ) (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- ( ) (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- ( ) (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows? *

Select all that apply

- [x] Gender promotion initiative
- [x] Youth promotion initiative
- [ ] Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- [ ] Cross-border or regional project
- [ ] None

Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee/ project board? *

- (●) Yes
- ( ) No

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

During the reporting period, one (1) Project Steering Committee meeting was conducted on 4 February 2026 in Juba. The meeting was attended by representatives from the Ministry of Peace Building, Ministry of Humanitarian Affairs and Disaster Management, Relief and Rehabilitation Commission, Ministry of Youth and Sports, Ministry of Gender, Child and Social Welfare, South Sudan Youth and Women Union, and project partners (UNDP, IOM and DRC).

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with. *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

Relevant government stakeholders were engaged through the PSC meeting that brought together both National and stake stakeholders including representatives from Ministry of Peace Building, Ministry of Humanitarian Affairs and Disaster Management, Relief and Rehabilitation Commission, Ministry of Youth and Sports, Ministry of Gender, Child and Social Welfare, South Sudan Youth and Women Union, and project partners (UNDP, IOM and DRC)

PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.

Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities

Contracting of partners *

- ( ) Not Started
- ( ) Initiated
- (●) Partially Completed
- ( ) Completed
- ( ) Not Applicable

Staff Recruitment *

- ( ) Not Started
- ( ) Initiated
- ( ) Partially Completed
- (●) Completed
- ( ) Not Applicable

Collection of baselines *

- (●) Not Started
- ( ) Initiated
- ( ) Partially Completed
- ( ) Completed
- ( ) Not Applicable

Identification of beneficiaries *

- ( ) Not Started
- ( ) Initiated
- (•) Partially Completed
- ( ) Completed
- ( ) Not Applicable

Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.) *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

Overall, the project's preliminary/preparatory activities have largely been completed across all project partners/direct recipients, enabling the transition into full-scale implementation. Key achievements include the recruitment and deployment of key project personnel and procurement of goods and services, establishment of implementation arrangements, and initiation of core project activities.

DRC completed the recruitment and deployment of key project staff including a Peacebuilding Team Leader, a Peacebuilding Officer, and an Economic Recovery Officer who are now providing dedicated support to project implementation. The project finalized several procurements, including visibility materials, stationery, and other non-perishable project supplies.

DRC continue to engage with the Ministry of Labour (MoL) regarding the extension of the existing Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) for vocational training activities. While the MoU remains under review, the MoL has granted approval for DRC to proceed with training activities to avoid delays in implementation. The Ministry of Labour indicated that it is currently standardizing MoUs nationwide to establish a single harmonized template for agreements with government-owned Vocational Training Centres. Based on consultations meeting held with the Director General of Vocational Training, several additional components will need to be incorporated into the revised MoU that were previously not included. These include provisions for competency-based assessments, tracer studies, certification through the UNDP portal, and industrial attachments for vocational courses exceeding six months in duration. These additions are expected to strengthen the quality, standardization, and employability outcomes of vocational training programmes. DRC is not contracting any external partners under this project, as all activities will be implemented directly by the recruited team.

UNDP experienced delays to the commencement of project activities under outcome 2. This was largely attributed to the extensive time required to secure a highly competent implementing partner capable of executing such multifaceted project with a component on construction of houses and community centre using cash for work modality. Given the substantial budget allocation ($547,000) and the complex context in Malakal, Upper Nile State, UNDP prioritised ensuring the selected implementing partner possessed the necessary technical expertise and operational capacity, which unfortunately took longer that expected. Moreover, to prevent further setbacks and ensure implementation readiness, UNDP utilized this period to advance preparatory work. This included conducting stakeholder's consultation, deploying 84 pieces of inter locking machines to Malakal, and preparing and finalizing the scope of work, bill of quantity, and technical designs. Furthermore, UNDP and partners successfully engaged with relevant stakeholders including Upper Nile State Ministry of State Ministry of Housing, Land and Property and Upper Nile State Area Based Coordination Forum on project implementation readiness.

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (*for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-December (anticipated); for final reports: full project duration*). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

Is the project on track for the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan? *

- (•) Yes
- ( ) No

If no, please provide an explanation *

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

Project progress summary *

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

The project advanced key preparatory and implementation activities, including the establishment and strengthening of community peace structures, conduct of conflict sensitivity assessments across target locations, and rollout of community policing initiatives. In parallel, the project facilitated structured dialogue and trust-building processes involving community leaders, youth representatives, women's groups, religious leaders, and local authorities.

A significant milestone has been the integration of conflict sensitivity into implementation. Through 12 focus group discussions and 15 key informant interviews, the project generated evidence to inform a conflict-sensitive approach. While the final report is still being completed, preliminary findings have already influenced key aspects of delivery, including beneficiary targeting, the inclusion of women and youth, and the design of peacebuilding interventions. This conflict sensitive approach has enhanced the project's relevance and reduced the risk of exacerbating existing tensions.

One important outcome-level adjustment has been the inclusion of Akoka County in peacebuilding activities. Evidence from the conflict analysis highlighted the interconnected nature of conflict dynamics between Fashoda and Akoka, particularly around land claims and access to the river. Expanding implementation to involve Akoka communities has strengthened the project's ability to address conflict drivers holistically. This decision has likely mitigated the risk of exclusion-related grievances and increased the potential for broader social cohesion by engaging conflict-affected communities on both sides of the Nile.

Engagements on Fashoda–Akoka peacebuilding commenced in November 2025, including meetings with County Commissioners (9–15 November), consultations with the Shilluk King and peace actors (17–20 November), and stakeholder discussions with the Women's Union, Youth Union, and religious leaders (25–29 November). These engagements culminated in the Fashoda–Akoka Peace Conference held in early December 2025. Following renewed intercommunal tensions, IOM, DRC, and UNMISS-CAD conducted separate high-level engagements with the Commissioners of Fashoda and Akoka on 19–20 February 2026 to rebuild trust and confidence. Further follow-up discussions were held in April 2026 to plan trust-building workshops and track implementation of conference resolutions.

Additionally, dialogue and trust-building processes are beginning to foster collaboration and shared problem-solving. A peace conference with 88 participants (including 22 women) in December 2025 addressing tensions between Akoka and Fashoda, as well as a trust-building workshop in April 2026 in Malakal with 50 participants (including 14 women), created platforms for dialogue and joint action. Similarly, a trust-building exercise in Kodok with 40 participants (including 15 women) resulted in locally identified peacebuilding actions that communities have committed to implement. These efforts indicate early shifts toward collective ownership of peacebuilding and greater willingness among communities to engage constructively.

Another notable and tangible outcome of the peace conference has been the reported free movement of residents, traders, and goods between Fashoda and Akoka. This progress was achieved through extensive engagements conducted by traditional, youth, and women leaders within the two communities. Prior to the conference, movement between the two areas was hindered by fears of attack, which impeded the free flow of people, goods, and services. The concerted efforts following the conference have significantly contributed to alleviating these fears, thereby enabling unimpeded movement and economic activities between Fashoda and Akoka.

Moreover, following extensive advocacy and mobilization efforts by the commissioners, a total of 100 youth, comprising 30 from Fashoda, 30 from Akoka, and 40 from Malakal were enrolled at the Malakal Vocational Training Centre (Action Point No. 9) for various professional skills, in tailoring, building, carpentry, hairdressing, electricity, mechanics and computer (ICT). Further, as a direct outcome of the peace conference, the commissioners of Akoka and Fashoda invited the State Minister of Information & Communication to inaugurate a campaign titled "Combating Hate Speech and Constructive Use of Social Media" in both Fashoda and Akoka. This campaign was conducted from 10 to 12 March 2026, aiming to promote responsible social media use and combat hate speech.

Furthermore, during the reporting period, the project made meaningful progress towards strengthening the socio-economic inclusion and empowerment of internally displaced persons (IDPs), returnees, and host communities by improving access to market-linked vocational skills development and community-based economic opportunities. The project dignified a partnership with the Ministry of Labour through renewed Memorandum of Understanding, enabling the delivery of market-linked vocational skills training at the Malakal Vocational Training Centre (VTC) in

which 100 (females= 47, Males 53) youths and women have been enrolled under different courses including tailoring, saloon and hair dressing, auto mechanic, basic electric installation, welding, building and constructions, carpentry and joinery and basic computer.

The project has also strengthened the foundations for community-level financial resilience and social cohesion through the establishment of nine community savings groups across targeted locations, creating inclusive platforms for collective savings, financial participation, and mutual support among displaced and host populations. These structures are expected to enhance financial inclusion and build local capacities for economic opportunities and investment over time.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured by the project to date *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The project intentionally integrated Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) and Youth Inclusion approaches across its interventions, particularly under Outcome 2. The beneficiary selection criteria for vocational skills training were specifically designed to prioritize women and youth resulting in the enrolment of 100 participants, of whom 62 per cent are women and 38 per cent are men. and livelihood programming. This approach responds to the barriers that women and young people often face in accessing skills development, employment opportunities, and productive economic resources. By increasing access to market-relevant vocational training, the project aims to enhance employability, support self-employment, and expand income-generating opportunities for these groups.

The project further promoted inclusion through the establishment and support of Village Savings and Loan Associations (VSLAs), where membership largely comprises women and youth (61% female, 39% male). The main objective of VSLAs is improved access to savings, small loans, and financial resources while strengthening financial literacy, social networks, and collective action. Participation in VSLAs is expected to capacitate members to invest in livelihood activities, expand small businesses, and improve household resilience. By prioritizing these groups across vocational training and financial inclusion activities, the project will contribute to reducing barriers to economic participation, strengthening resilience, and promoting more equitable access to livelihood opportunities.

Gender and youth perspectives have also been integrated into the project's conflict sensitivity and peacebuilding work. As part of the conflict sensitivity assessment, 12 focus group discussions were conducted, each involving five and eight participants. Youth and women were intentionally included throughout the process, with six dedicated focus group discussions conducted specifically for women and youth groups. The assessments examined how conflict dynamics affect women and youth in the project locations, as well as how these groups influence conflict. A dedicated section in the conflict sensitivity analysis highlights the gendered dimensions of the conflict. The gendered dimensions highlighted in the report demonstrate the need for inclusive peacebuilding approaches that address the specific vulnerabilities of women and youth while strengthening their capacities as key actors in conflict prevention and resolution in Greater Malakal.

Is the project 1+ year in implementation? *

- (●) Yes
- ( ) No

FOR PROJECTS 1+ YEAR IN IMPLEMENTATION ONLY:

Is the project demonstrating outcome-level peacebuilding results? *

Outcome-level peacebuilding results entail results achieved at the societal or structural level, including changed attitudes, behaviours or institutions.

- (●) Yes
- ( ) No

If yes, please provide concrete examples of such peacebuilding results *

Please limit your response to 6000 characters

Partial result - outcome-level peacebuilding results to be reported in the next period.
During heightened tensions in January–February 2026 following the killing of three Shilluk youth in Lul Payam, the Malakal peace working group, in coordination with the Ministry of Peacebuilding, was able to rapidly initiate Track II dialogue processes. Although the underlying grievances are yet to be resolved, these engagements helped de-escalate tensions and prevent violence from escalating. This represents a concrete example of outcome-level progress, where strengthened local mechanisms contributed directly to conflict mitigation in a volatile context.

At the community level, monitoring visits suggests that the peace committee are becoming more functional. Memberships of the Peace Committees in Malakal, Kodok and Akoka have expanded to include women and youth representatives. The trust building workshops described under Activity 3.1.2 were organised in collaboration with the peace committees – who played a key role in mobilising participants and shaping the agenda and format of the workshops.

Following the revitalization and capacity strengthening of the peace committees and community-based peace structures, the peace committees convened four meetings with community leaders of Ulang, Nasir, and Baliet counties in December 2025 and March 2026. These engagements were held in response to violent incidents that occurred in Nasir in March 2025 and in Adong, Baliet County, in November 2025. The meetings played a crucial role in rebuilding trust and confidence among these conflict-affected communities. Also, the peace committees of Fashoda and Akoka contributed to easing tensions between the two areas following a cattle raid and the theft of fishing equipment. Without the intervention of these peace committees, in collaboration with traditional leaders and county authorities, these incidents could have escalated into high tensions and violence.

If yes, please provide sources or references (including links) as evidence of peacebuilding results, or submit them as additional attachments.

Evidence may be quantitative or qualitative but needs to demonstrate progress against outcome indicators in the project results framework. Sources may include project surveys (such as perception surveys), monitoring reports, government documents, or other knowledge products that have been developed by the project.

File attachment

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

PART II: PROJECT RESULTS FRAMEWORK

How many OUTCOMES does this project have *

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

Outcome 1: *

Localization and area-based solutions planning – Government institutions and other local governance structures, including inclusive community management structures and representative groups, collectively support coordination, evidence development and community engagement to strengthen the enabling environment for durable solutions.

Outcome 2: *

Socio-economic inclusion and empowerment – IDPs, returnees and host communities- are supported in the development of skills, pursuit of diversified and sustainable livelihoods options, improved income, and increased access to adequate housing/shelter and community infrastructure and services for sustainable return and reintegration.

Outcome 3: *

Social cohesion through community development – capacities of IDPs, returnees and host communities- are strengthened to prevent and mitigate conflict in non-violent ways and promote peaceful coexistence.

Outcome 4: *

Outcome 5: *

Outcome 6: *

Outcome 7: *

Outcome 8: *

Additional Outcomes *

If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments, provide an update on the achievement of all **outcome** and **output** indicators in the table below.

- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation.
- Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (500 characters max per entry)

» Outcome 1: Localization and area-based solutions planning – Government institutions and other local governance structures, including inclusive community management structures and representative groups, collectively support coordination, evidence development and community engagement to strengthen the enabling environment for durable solutions.

OC1	Outcome Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
1.1	**Indicator 1a Number of formal and informal local governance structures that report increased ability to participate in decision-making on durable solutions.**	**TBD**	**TBD**	**0**	**0**	**Pending evaluation. To be reported after end of project evaluation**
1.2	**Indicator 1b Number of formal and informal local governance structures that report satisfaction with solutions pathways data.**	**TBD**	**TBD**	**0**	**0**	**Pending evaluation. To be reported after end of project evaluation**
1.3						
1.4						
1.5						

How many outputs does outcome 1 have?

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 1

Output 1.1

Output 1.1: Establish inclusive governance structure through participatory formal and informal governance mapping exercise.

Output 1.2

Output 1.2: Local governance actors are equipped with skills and knowledge to take up their roles and responsibilities in creating an enabling environment for durable solutions, including HLP

Output 1.3

Output 1.3: Displacement-affected communities, government actors, and humanitarian, development and peace partners have access to accurate and up-to-date information relevant to durable solutions pathways.

Output 1.4

Output 1.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 1 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» Output 1.1: Output 1.1: Establish inclusive governance structure through participatory formal and informal governance mapping exercise.

1.1	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.1.1	**Indicator 1.1.1 Number of inclusive governance strategies produced.**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**Stakeholder analysis underway to identify governance structure and coordination mechanism. Will be reported in the next period**
1.1.2	**Indicator 1.1.2 Number of proposed community coordination structure established**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**This is contingent upon completion of indicator 1.1.1. Will be reported in the next period**
1.1.3						
1.1.4						

1.1.5						

» Output 1.2: Output 1.2: Local governance actors are equipped with skills and knowledge to take up their roles and responsibilities in creating an enabling environment for durable solutions, including HLP

1.2	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.2.1	**Indicator 1.2.1 Number of inclusive coordination meetings/capacity development exchanges conducted on durable solutions.**	**0**	**12**	**6**	**6**	**Progress on track**
1.2.2						
1.2.3						
1.2.4						
1.2.5						

» Output 1.3: Output 1.3: Displacement-affected communities, government actors, and humanitarian, development and peace partners have access to accurate and up-to-date information relevant to durable solutions pathways.

1.3	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.3.1	**Indicator 1.3.1 Number of accessible databases by the communities and other stakeholders**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**The interactive Durable Solution Dashboard has been finalized/developed**
1.3.2						
1.3.3						
1.3.4						
1.3.5						

» Output 1.4:

1.4	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.4.1						
1.4.2						
1.4.3						
1.4.4						
1.4.5						

» Output 1.5:

1.5	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.5.1						
1.5.2						
1.5.3						
1.5.4						
1.5.5						

» Outcome 2: Socio-economic inclusion and empowerment – IDPs, returnees and host communities- are supported in the development of skills, pursuit of diversified and sustainable livelihoods options, improved income, and increased access to adequate housing/shelter and community infrastructure and services for sustainable return and reintegration.

OC2	Outcome Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
2.1	Indicator 2a: % of beneficiaries that are better able to meet basic needs through sustainable livelihood opportunities.	0	75%	0%	0%	This will be reported when livelihoods activities have been set-up beneficiaries still undergoing trainings
2.2	Indicator 2b: Percentage of beneficiaries reporting net income from their livelihood	0	75%	0%	0%	This will be reported when livelihoods activities have been set-up, beneficiaries still undergoing trainings
2.3	Indicator 2.3 Percentage of beneficiaries reporting improved access to basic services/infrastructure, housing/ safe water facilities support	0	50%	0	0	This will be reported when once the construction of the houses is completed

2.4	**Indicator 2d: Percentage of financial service groups supported by the programme that are functioning properly**	**0**	**65%**	**0%**	**0%**	**9 VSLA groups have been formed, and beneficiaries await training and commencement of savings. Additional 24 VSLAs groups to be formed and reported in the next period.**
2.5						

How many outputs does outcome 2 have?

1 2 [3] 4 5 more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 2

Output 2.1

Output 2.1: Improve income and revenue generation through skills development and employment in line with market demand

Output 2.2

Output 2.2: Reinforce community-level organization and capacities on access to savings and credit, financial literacy and investment opportunities, with particular focus on women and youth at risk of engagement in violence or in illicit economic activities.

Output 2.3

Output 2.3: Communities are provided with increased access to basic services, infrastructure, housing, safe water facilities and HLP support in the areas of return.

Output 2.4

Output 2.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 2 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» Output 2.1: Output 2.1: Improve income and revenue generation through skills development and employment in line with market demand

2.1	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
2.1.1	**Number of youths trained in market linked, climate smart, agriculture value chain, and livelihood training and vocational skills training based on standardized national qualification framework (50% women)**	**0**	**340**	**100**	**100**	**DRC end of project target is 200 and so far, Cohort 1, 100 youth have commenced training. The remaining cohort of 100 will commence training by August 2026. UNDP component to be report in the next period.**
2.1.2	**Number of youths provided with career advice and job placement (50% women)**	**0**	**340**	**0**	**0**	**Pending IP recruitment. To be reported in the next period.**

2.1.3	**Number of youths provided with employment and job placement opportunities, including through cash-for-work scheme linked to construction of community productive infrastructure (50% women)**	**0**	**90**	**0**	**0**	**This will be reported once the training of youth completed and constructions of the houses commences by November 2026.**
2.1.4						
2.1.5						

» Output 2.2: Output 2.2: Reinforce community-level organization and capacities on access to savings and credit, financial literacy and investment opportunities, with particular focus on women and youth at risk of engagement in violence or in illicit economic activities.

2.2	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
2.2.1	**# of New Village Savings and Loan Associations (VSLA) established, and supported with loan revolving facility registered**	**0**	**24**	**9**	**9**	**DRC's end of project target is 10 groups. VSLA groups have recently been established with 9 groups currently undergoing VSLA training. UNDP's project target is 24 VSLAs groups to be formed and reported in the next period.**
2.2.2	**# of youth trained in business and entrepreneurship services**	**0**	**24**	**0**	**0**	**Activity pending Implementing partner recruitment, now that onboarded, to be reported in the next period**
2.2.3	**Indicator 2.2.3 Number of individuals who received credit services for investment**	**0**	**50**	**0**	**0**	**Activity pending Implementing partner recruitment, now that onboarded, to be reported in the next period**

2. 2. 4						
2. 2. 5						

» Output 2.3: Output 2.3: Communities are provided with increased access to basic services, infrastructure, housing, safe water facilities and HLP support in the areas of return.

2.3	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
2.3.1	**Number of returnees, refugees, IDPs & host communities organized/grouped into construction business. (50% women, 50% youth)**	**0**	**90**	**0**	**0**	**Activity pending Implementing partner recruitment, now that onboarded. to be reported in the next period**
2.3.2	**No. of brick inter-locking machines or construction business asset provided**	**0**	**9**	**0**	**0**	**Construction planned to start in the dry season from Nov 2026**
2.3.3						
2.3.4						
2.3.5						

» Output 2.4:

2.4	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
2.4.1						
2.4.2						
2.4.3						
2.4.4						
2.4.5						

» Output 2.5:

2.5	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
2.5.1						
2.5.2						
2.5.3						
2.5.4						
2.5.5						

» Outcome 3: Social cohesion through community development – capacities of IDPs, returnees and host communities- are strengthened to prevent and mitigate conflict in non-violent ways and promote peaceful coexistence.

OC3	Outcome Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
3.1	Indicator 3a: % of individuals reporting improvement in conflict management mechanisms within their communities concerning participation, methodologies, relevance, and the capacity to engage	0	70%	0%	0%	To be measured at end line
3.2	Indicator 3b: % surveyed beneficiaries reported using a peaceful conflict management approach	0	70%	0%	0%	Outcome-level measurement is planned following implementation of community dialogues, trust-building activities, Community Policing initiatives, and HLP Alternative Dispute Resolution interventions. Initial activities have established the foundation for improved community conflict
3.3						

3.4						
3.5						

How many outputs does outcome 3 have?

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 3

Output 3.1

Output 3.1: Improve social relations through inclusive trust building activities.

Output 3.2

Outputs 3.2: Support community dialogues and other trust building activities for sustained peace.

Output 3.3

Outputs 3.3: Communities have better access to alternative dispute resolution mechanisms on HLP.

Output 3.4

Output 3.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 3 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» Output 3.1: Output 3.1: Improve social relations through inclusive trust building activities.

3.1	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
3.1.1	**Number of risk mitigation frameworks / conflict sensitivity assessment report developed and shared**	**0**	**4**	**2**	**2**	**The project also completed a conflict sensitivity assessment process through Focus Group Discussions (FGDs) and Key Informant Interviews (KIIs)**

3.1.2	**Number of community working groups established, trained, and supported in operationalizing nonviolent conflict management**	**0**	**3**	**3**	**3**	**Community Working Groups (CWGs) and peace committees were revitalized across the target locations to serve as platforms for dialogue, mediation, trust-building, and community participation. While these groups have been formed, training to operationalize nonviolent conflict management is yet to be conducted**
3.1.3	**No. of training / trust building activities facilitated by community working group**	**0**	**11**	**3**	**3**	**The remaining trust building workshops are planned in the next period**
3.1.4						
3.1.5						

» Output 3.2: Outputs 3.2: Support community dialogues and other trust building activities for sustained peace.

3.2	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
3.2.1	**Number of dialogues/workshops facilitated**	**0**	**3**	**2**	**2**	**The Akoka–Fashoda peace process. In collaboration with UNMISS Civil Affairs Division (UNMISS CAD), the State Ministry of Peacebuilding, and other peace actors**
3.2.2						
3.2.3						
3.2.4						
3.2.5						

» Output 3.3: Outputs 3.3: Communities have better access to alternative dispute resolution mechanisms on HLP.

3.3	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
3.3.1	**Number of HLP Assessments developed.**	**0**	**3**	**0**	**0**	**No assessment conducted in the reporting period**
3.3.2	**Number of alternative dispute resolution mechanisms established**	**0**	**2**	**1**	**1**	**An ADR mechanism is in place. One Land Dispute Resolution Committee was formed, awaiting training in the next quarter**
3.3.3	**Number of beneficiaries participating in awareness-raising sessions on HLP and ADR conducted by the project**	**0**	**480**	**146 (131 females and 15 males)**	**146 (131 females and 15 males)**	**HLP awareness work is on-going in 6 sessions**
3.3.4	**Output Indicator 3.3.4: Number of community leaders provided with training sessions on Housing, land and Property and Alternative Dispute Resolutions**	**0**	**60**	**35 (23 men and 12 women)**	**35 (23 men and 12 women)**	**Community leaders and duty bearers were trained on HLP case management and dispute resolution**

3.3.5	**Output Indicator 3.3.5: Alternative dispute resolution is available at the i-hub.**	**0**	**Yes**	**0**	**0**	**Update will be provided in subsequent quarters.**

» Output 3.4:

3.4	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
3.4.1						
3.4.2						
3.4.3						
3.4.4						
3.4.5						

» Output 3.5:

3.5	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
3.5.1						
3.5.2						
3.5.3						
3.5.4						
3.5.5						

» Outcome 4:

OC4	Outcome Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress for reporting period	Indicator progress since project's start	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
4.1						
4.2						
4.3						
4.4						
4.5						

How many outputs does outcome 4 have?

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list all outputs for outcome 4

Output 4.1

Output 4.2

Output 4.3

Output 4.4

Output 4.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 4 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against all output indicators

» Output 4.1:

4.1	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.1.1						
4.1.2						
4.1.3						
4.1.4						
4.1.5						

» Output 4.2:

4.2	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.2.1						
4.2.2						
4.2.3						
4.2.4						
4.2.5						

» Output 4.3:

4.3	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.3.1						
4.3.2						
4.3.3						
4.3.4						
4.3.5						

» Output 4.4:

4.4	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.4.1						
4.4.2						
4.4.3						
4.4.4						
4.4.5						

» Output 4.5:

4.5	Output Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress since project's start *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.5.1						
4.5.2						
4.5.3						
4.5.4						
4.5.5						

If the project has more than 4 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes *

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)
If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)

Events	Event Description	Tentative Date	Location	Target Audience	Event Objectives (900 characters)
Event 1	**Fashoda–Akoka post-follow up dialogue**	**23 – 26 June/ Aug 12-23**	**Akoka and Malakal Town**	**Fashoda and Akoka communities, community leaders and state authorities**	**- Review progress on commitments and resolutions from previous dialogue processes. - Strengthen peaceful coexistence and trust between Fashoda and Akoka communities. - Address emerging tensions and identify joint solutions to conflict drivers. - Reinforce collaboration between community leaders, local authorities, and peace actors.**
Event 2	**Conference of traditional chiefs and stakeholders**	**July/August 2026**	**Malakal**	**Traditional leaders, women and youth leaders**	**-To clarify and strengthen traditional authority's role in dispute resolution and social cohesion**
Event 3	**Youth and women sports and cultural activities for peace**	**July/August 2026**	**Malakal, Kodok, Lul and Akoka**	**Youth & women**	**-Create spaces for trust building and social cohesion**
Event 4	**Graduation Ceremony of Cohort 1 of 100 students**	**July/August 2026**	**Malakal**	**Members of peacebuilding committees, women and youth leaders, community leaders, customary leaders**	**- To demonstrate how providing economic opportunities for women and youth can contribute to peacebuilding and durable solutions**

Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organziations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implemantation
ii. The impact of the project in their lives
iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group

This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant

Human Impact	Type of stakeholder	What has been the impact of the project on their lives?	Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from the stakeholder
1	**Peace committee/Working group in Malakal**	**The Malakal Peace Committee (Working Group), established by the State Ministry of Peacebuilding, brings together leaders from different ethnic groups, including representatives from the Malakal IDP camp. The committee had struggled with resources to meet regularly to promote peacebuilding efforts within Malakal.** **With project support, the committee has no w been able to meet regularly to address conflict dynamics in the Greater Malakal area.** **Key activities include a peace conference held in December 2025 to address tensions between Akoka and Fashoda counties, and a trust-building workshop in April aimed at strengthening social cohesion in Malakal through dialogue and agreed actions**	**"The peace committees have been doing a great job. However, capacity building to the peace committees is something which cannot be given once. It needs to be regular and sustained. They (Peace Committees) need to be regularly supported to organise dialogues, The focus should be on dialogues, this situation of upper nile, people may be peaceful today but after some time, it (conflict) happens. Let's not give up on the dialogues – let people talk and talk and talk to reduce the tensions which is in the heart of people." _ Simon Ador. A facilitator with the South Sudan Council of Churches and member of the Malakal Peace Committee.**

2	**Hai Matar Community Members**	**Increased understanding of HLP rights, stronger confidence in local security arrangements, participation in decision-making on community land use, and improved trust in police community relations through the PCRC** **Awareness raising on Housing, Land and Property (HLP) rights strengthened the Hai Matar community's understanding of their land entitlements, enabling them to advocate for safeguards following the proposed construction of a Community Policing Centre. This informed engagement led to the signing of a tripartite agreement between the community, the Ministry of Interior, and the Ministry of Housing, Land and Public Utilities.** **The agreement secures legal recognition of community land ownership, clarifies roles and responsibilities, and establishes dispute resolution mechanisms. This outcome highlights the impact of HLP rights awareness in empowering communities, protecting land rights, and supporting a sustainable, conflict sensitive approach aligned with the "do no harm" principle.** **These interventions increased community confidence in public institutions, strengthened community ownership over peace and security initiatives, reduced fears related to land dispossession, and contributed to creating conditions that could encourage the voluntary return and reintegration of displaced populations.**	**"This awareness has increased our confidence and will encourage people living in Malakal IDP Camp to come out to Hai Matar and other parts of Malakal Town."**
3			

4			

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had.

Please limit your response to 4000 characters.

Beyond the immediate outputs, the intervention demonstrated how integrated peacebuilding approaches can transform relationships between communities and institutions. By combining HLP awareness, community policing, and participatory decision-making, the project enabled the Hai Matar community to engage constructively with state authorities to secure both community land rights and improved access to safety services.

The signing of the Tripartite Land Use Agreement represents an important milestone in balancing public security needs with community ownership rights. Rather than creating tensions over land allocation, the process strengthened trust, transparency, and accountability among the community, the police, and government institutions. The establishment of the PCRC further institutionalized this collaboration by creating a locally owned mechanism through which concerns can be addressed peacefully.

Importantly, the intervention contributed to restoring confidence among conflict-affected populations. Community members indicated that improved security arrangements and respect for their land rights could encourage internally displaced people currently residing in Malakal IDP Camp to consider returning to Hai Matar and other parts of Malakal Town. The experience illustrates how conflict-sensitive approaches that integrate peacebuilding, HLP protection, and community participation can contribute to durable solutions and social cohesion.

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

File 1

OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 2

OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 3

OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

Link 1

OPTIONAL

Link 2

OPTIONAL

Link 3

OPTIONAL

Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.

How we worked: *

Please select up to 3.

- [ ] Enhanced digitization
- [ ] Innovative ways of working
- [ ] Mobilized additional resources
- [ ] Improved or initiated policy frameworks
- [x] Strengthened capacities
- [ ] Partnered with with local/grassroots Civil Society Organizations
- [ ] Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will
- [ ] Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- [x] Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The project strengthened capacities of Youth Peace Ambassadors (YPAs), who actively contributed to community awareness campaigns, youth dialogues, school outreach, community initiatives, and advocacy engagements with government authorities

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

The project work in collaboration with government counterparts, UN agencies (UNMISS, UNHCR, WFP, UNICEF, and FAO), and civil society organizations to support implementation of the area-based durable solutions roadmap for Malakal and Upper Nile State

Please explain one of the selected options

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Who are we working with *

- [ ] Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- [x] Strengthened partnerships between UN Agencies
- [ ] Partnered with local civil society organizations
- [ ] Partnered with local academia
- [x] Partnered with sub-national entities
- [x] Partnered with national entities
- [ ] Partnered with local volunteers

Please explain

Please limit your response to 3000 characters

The project strengthened collaboration with UN agencies (UNMISS, UNHCR, WFP, UNICEF, and FAO. The project actively participated in monthly peacebuilding coordination meetings and the Malakal Area-Based Coordination (ABC) Forum. These coordination platforms are convened by the Upper Nile State Ministry of Peacebuilding and co-led by UNMISS Civil Affairs, with participation from government institutions, UN agencies, civil society organizations, and development partners and The project engaged with key national government institutions through the Project Steering Committee (PSC), which convened on 4 February 2026 in Juba. The meeting brought together representatives from the Ministry of Peacebuilding, Ministry of Humanitarian Affairs and Disaster Management, Relief and Rehabilitation Commission, Ministry of Youth and Sports, and Ministry of Gender, Child and Social Welfare. The Project continue to engage these national stakeholders, through Ministry of peace Building]

Leave No one Behind

Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative *

Mandatory

- [x] Unemployed persons
- [x] Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)
- [x] Indigenous communities
- [x] Persons with Disabilities
- [x] Persons affected by violence (including GBV)
- [x] Women
- [x] Youth
- [ ] Children
- [ ] Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression
- [x] People living in and around border areas
- [x] Persons affected by natural disasters
- [x] Persons affected by armed conflicts
- [x] Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants

PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

» Monitoring

Please list key monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

A community-based monitoring visit was conducted by DRC's peacebuilding Manager in January 20 – 27 with the aim to oversee project implementation and support the conflict analysis and risk mitigation framework. During this visit, several meetings were conducted with the project implementation team, key stake holders including members of the peace committees and with other peace partners including the Ministry of Peacebuilding.

A monitoring visit was conducted by the IOM Programme Manager and Peacebuilding Officer on the peace infrastructures revitalized and strengthened. The mission revealed that peace committees and the community working groups are contributing to improved conflict management dynamics. IOM has deployed an M&E staff to Malakal to start collecting more evidence of success and this will be reported in the upcoming reporting periods.

Do outcome indicators have baselines? *

If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'

- ○ Yes
- ◉ No

If yes, please provide a brief description. If not, explain why not and when they will be available. *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

This will be available in the next reporting phase

Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request) *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Interviews and Focus Group Discussion

Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys *

***Perception survey is a formal collection of information from a randomly selected sample of respondents through their responses to standardized questions. See PBF Guidance Note for more information** link*

- ○ Yes
- ◉ No

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms? *

Community feedback mechanism, or community-based monitoring, is an organized system for communities of participants to monitor the local effects and impact of an intervention. Ideally, this system empowers the community to express whether their expectations are being met and to provide suggestions to decision-makers for possible (re)focusing. See PBF Guidance Note for more information. *link*

- (●) Yes
- ( ) No

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Community Feedback was collected through the Conflict Sensitivity Analysis conducted to inform programming. Findings were further validated through two two-day participatory trust-building workshops conducted in Malakal and Kodok in collaboration with existing peace structures.

» Evaluation

Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation? *

- (●) Yes
- ( ) No
- ( ) Not Applicable

Evaluation budget (in USD) included in the project budget: *

Response required

65000

If project will end in next six months, is your upcoming evaluation on track?

- ( ) Yes
- ( ) No
- (●) Not Applicable

Please describe the preparations

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Contact information	Name	Organization	Job title	Email
Please mention the focal person responsible for sharing the final evaluation report with the PBF:	**Patrick Andama**	**UNDP**	**Monitoring and Evaluation Analyst**	**andama.patrick@undp.org**

» Catalytic Effect

Catalytic Effect (financial): Has the project mobilized additional non-PBF financial resources since the project's start? *

- ◯ Yes
- ◉ No

How many funders or grants has the project received additional non-PBF funding from **since the project started**? *

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur, in addition to the direct project changes? Please refer to PBF Catalytic Effect Guidelines for more information. *

- ◯ Yes
- ◉ No

If yes, please select the relevant option below: *

- ◯ Some catalytic effect
- ◯ Significant catalytic effect

If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect, i.e. removed barriers to unblock stalled political, institutional or other peacebuilding processes at different levels in a country, and/or created the conditions to establish new processes to do so *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Sustainability

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains, including any mechanisms, platforms, networks and socio-economic initiatives supported, beyond the duration of the project *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

Sustainability: Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains, including any mechanisms, platforms, networks and socio-economic initiatives supported, beyond the duration of the project (3000 characters):

To ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains beyond the project period, the project adopted a range of measures focused on strengthening local ownership, building institutional capacity, and supporting community-led mechanisms for conflict prevention, dialogue, and social cohesion.

A key sustainability measure was the revitalization and strengthening of the Community Working Groups (CWGs) and Peace Committees as inclusive community-based structures comprising women, youth, traditional leaders, and other community representatives. These platforms have been equipped to facilitate dialogue, mediation, early warning, and conflict resolution and are expected to continue serving communities beyond the project's duration.

The project also invested in strengthening youth leadership through collaboration with the Youth Peace Ambassadors (YPA) network. Through support for youth-led peacebuilding initiatives, awareness campaigns, community dialogues, and engagement with local authorities, the project has contributed to developing a cadre of young peace actors capable of sustaining peacebuilding efforts and promoting social cohesion within their communities.

Another important sustainability measure was the strengthening of partnerships with government institutions, including the Ministry of Peacebuilding, Ministry of Labor, Ministry of General Education, and Ministry of Youth and Sports. These partnerships have strengthened institutional ownership of project interventions and facilitated integration of peacebuilding, literacy, and livelihood initiatives into existing government structures and priorities.

The project also strengthened the sustainability of Housing, Land and Property (HLP) interventions improving communities access to information, legal assistance, and Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) mechanisms. Through training community leaders and awareness-raising activities, the project has enhanced local capacities to address HLP-related disputes peacefully and reduce conflict risks associated with land and property issues.

In addition, livelihood and vocational skills development interventions implemented through the Malakal Vocational Training Centre (VTC) are strengthening economic resilience and creating sustainable opportunities for youth, women, returnees, internally displaced persons, and host communities. By linking skills development, entrepreneurship support, and social cohesion initiatives, the project addresses both the symptoms and underlying drivers of conflict, thereby contributing to more sustainable peacebuilding outcomes.

Collectively, these community structures, institutional partnerships, youth networks, ADR mechanisms, and socio-economic initiatives provide a strong foundation for sustaining peacebuilding gains, strengthening resilience, and promoting durable solutions beyond the lifespan of the project.

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

Please limit your response to 3000 characters.

None

Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.
Events include Steering Committee meetings, Monitoring visits, Third party monitoring, Community based monitoring, any data collection, Perception or other survey findings, evaluation reports, audit or investigations.

Monitoring and oversight activities	Name of the Event	Summary	Key Findings
Event 1	**Conflict sensitivity review workshop**	**This workshop will review the conflict analysis and risk mitigation framework developed by DRC, with the aim of strengthening the integration of conflict sensitivity within project implementation.**	**Findings and recommendations are highlighted in the conflict sensitivity analysis report.**

Event 2	**Government Stakeholder – Director of Malakal Vocational Training Centre**	**The project has started to positively impact the lives of women and youth by improving access to market-oriented skills development and creating pathways toward employment, self-employment, and income generation opportunities. Increased participation in vocational training, particularly among women and youth, is contributing to greater economic inclusion, improved self-reliance, and reduced livelihood vulnerabilities. While many interventions remain at an early stage, the expanded access to livelihood opportunities are laying the foundation for longer-term socio-economic recovery and sustainable reintegration. In displacement-affected contexts such as Malakal and Fashoda, these interventions also contribute to addressing socio-economic drivers of conflict, including unemployment and economic marginalization, while strengthening social cohesion and supporting more peaceful and resilient communities.**	**"We are happy to see many young people and women joining the vocational training programmes because these skills can help them support themselves and their families in the future. Many people in our community have limited opportunities to learn practical skills, so this support comes at an important time. We have seen strong interest from participants across different courses, which shows that young people are eager to learn and improve their livelihoods. We appreciate the collaboration with DRC and hope this support continues to create more opportunities for our communities and contribute to a better future for the youth."** **- Director, Malakal Vocational Training Centre – David D. Okwachi**
Event 3			
Event 4			
Event 5			
Event 6			

Event 7			
Event 8			

Final Steps

- Please save a PDF copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.
- A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.
- Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF.
- (If on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and go back to the first page of the online form using the "Return to Beginning" option and try to print the PDF version from there)
- After printing the PDF version, please submit the report in the last page of the form. You can use the "Go to End" button in the bottom right corner.
- In compliance with our reporting requirements, **please upload the PDF version of the report as well as your *financial report in excel format* to the MPTF-O Gateway.**

If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO Gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.